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 **Lisa Fredley** started transcription

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 0:03
Don't know if we have a quorum or not.
I don't know if Lisa or Leslie can check attendance and see where we are.

 **Lisa Fredley** 0:26
Give us one second so we can check.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 0:29
Thank you so much.
To the person whose phone number is appearing, ending in five, two, just identify yourself for purposes of counting of we have a quorum.

 **+17\*\*\*\*\*\*\*52** 1:36
Yes, good morning. This is Liz Becker.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:39
Great. Thank you so much, Liz.

 **+17\*\*\*\*\*\*\*52** 1:42
No problem.

 **Lisa Fredley** 1:47
I show not 9.
Leslie, do you show that also?

 **Lezlie J. Helget** 1:55
I was going to go ahead and take roll call.

 **Lisa Fredley** 1:58
OK. Gotcha.
Thank you.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 2:02
OK.
Why don't go ahead and and do that then, Lisa, we'll do roll call and then we can just confirm what our number is.

 **Lezlie J. Helget** 2:13
OK.
I'll go ahead and take roll chair, Taylor.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 2:19
Present.

 **Lezlie J. Helget** 2:22
Turner.
Eric wachowski.
Prepares. Good morning. I'm here.
Richard Perkins.
Lease portal.
Eric Hansen.
Hermie Newman.
Assemblywoman Melissa Hardy.
Elizabeth Becker.

 **+17\*\*\*\*\*\*\*52** 3:14
Here.

 **Lezlie J. Helget** 3:17
Leslie muhica.

 **Leslie Mujica** 3:20
Good morning. Present.

 **Lezlie J. Helget** 3:24
Julie Williamson.
Director Dwayne Mcclinton, or I'm sorry director Mcclinton.

 **Dwayne McClinton** 3:38
Present.

 **Lezlie J. Helget** 3:43
Figueroa.

 **Ernest D. Figueroa** 3:46
Present.

 **Lezlie J. Helget** 3:49
Henry Shields.

 **Shields, Henry** 3:51
Yes, I'm here.

 **Lezlie J. Helget** 3:55
Dowdy here?
Us cruise.
Jeremy drew.
Burns, president.
Dallas Harris.
Senator Pete gokuchiya.
Assemblywoman Danielle Monroe Moreno. Good morning.

 **Daniele Monroe-Moreno** 4:38
I'm here.

 **Lezlie J. Helget** 4:44
We have 10 voting Members present. I think we needed 11.
We do need 11.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 4:57
Sorry, I'm sorry.
You said we have 10 and we need 11.

 **Lezlie J. Helget** 5:01
Yes.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 5:04
Absent again.
Let me ask you this.
Do we?
That takes into accounting with the vacant seats, correct?
Calculation.

 **Lezlie J. Helget** 5:17
No, I I was not counting the vacant seats, right?

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 5:22
So the task force as currently comprised equals X.
Number of attendees equals X number and your 12 is coming off that number as opposed to the full list of task force members that's in the statute.

 **Lezlie J. Helget** 5:38
We have 19 voting members, OK?
With not counting the vacant seat.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 5:45
OK.

 **Lezlie J. Helget** 5:47
And what other vacant?
What other vacant seats do we have?

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 5:50
There's two. There's the one that was just vacated by Ryan Atkins from Nevada Energy. And then there's the one that had not been filled as a representative from Nevada Indian Commission.

 **Lezlie J. Helget** 6:05
OK.
Excuse me, I don't have Ryan Atkins listed, OK?
As a as a vacant seat.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 6:14
Does that change the calculation of quorum by chance?

 **Lezlie J. Helget** 6:20
Because I have 19 Members, not counting that. All right.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 6:22
OK.

 **Lezlie J. Helget** 6:24
We have is Nicole Ting online.

 **Dwayne McClinton** 6:33
It doesn't appear to be.
She doesn't appear to be.
Let me see if I can call it real quick. Leslie.

 **Lezlie J. Helget** 6:44
That would be great. Leslie who?

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 6:46
Tell me again. Just kind of run through the absences, if you wouldn't mind.

 **Lezlie J. Helget** 6:52
Excuse me, Carolyn Turner.
Elise Porto OK.
Eric Hanson, OK.
Jeremy Newman OK.
Assemblywoman Melissa Hardy, all right.
Luis Cruz.

 **Luis Cruz Negron** 7:14
Present.

 **Lezlie J. Helget** 7:16
Oh, awesome.
We have 11.
We have our quorum.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 7:24
We have 12.
Now yes. No. Well.
11 we have 11. OK, Leslie, I'm trusting you.

 **Rubin, David (NV Energy)** 7:31
I'm.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 7:32
You say we have a quorum.
You did the count. Excellent. Fantastic.
Then let us call this meeting to order.
All right, so we did our roll call.
And we established that we do have a quorum.
So why don't we move to public comment?
Do we have anyone?
Prepared to make public comment.
See David Ruben from Nevada.
Energy has his hand up.

 **Rubin, David (NV Energy)** 8:09
Yeah. Jennifer, can you hear me?

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 8:11
I can.
You're a little bit soft, Dave.
I don't know if you can turn up the volume on your computer or anything.

 **Rubin, David (NV Energy)** 8:14
OK.
You know, let me see if this was better.
Just did wanna thank you and and the committee.
I know we don't have a formal representative.
But we were able to review the the draft recommendations and and just to let you know that the company can support them.
Really do appreciate your leadership and the rest of the the members of the committee for their continuing work. And as I said during our update at the prior, the last meeting, the last meeting clearly a lot to do.
In the market front and just sort of Western issues and transmission and interconnection in general and appreciate the committee's engagement and so just wanted to give you that feedback.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 9:03
OK.
Thank you very much, Mr. Rubin.
Appreciate you guys taking a quick moment this morning. Since you don't have a representative to review those recommendations and to let us know that the company is OK with the recommendations and I want to thank you for your engagement over these past couple years leading into this 20.
24 report and look forward to having further updates and discussions with the company as we move towards that 2027.
Deadline in the 2030 deadline so I know you have to head to the IRP, Mr. Rubin.
So thank you so much for taking a couple minutes to pop in this morning.

 **Rubin, David (NV Energy)** 9:41
Very good.
Thanks. And obviously any questions from you or the other members of the task force, please feel free to to forward them to us.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 9:49
Absolutely. Thank you so much.
Anybody else, Lisa or Leslie?

 **Leslie Mujica** 10:00
No, I'm OK. Thank you.

 **Lisa Fredley** 10:02
Nope, I don't have anything.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 10:02
OK.
Great thing.

 **Leslie Mujica** 10:02
When you say I'm sorry when you say Leslie, I don't know if I should answer or not.

 **Lisa Fredley** 10:03
Thank you.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 10:08
Miss helgett? Miss Leslie Helgett not miss Leslie Mohican.

 **Rubin, David (NV Energy)** 10:10
OK. OK. Alright. Thank you.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 10:11
I was talking to Gio staff.
Yeah. Thank you, though Leslie Mujica.
Alright, let's see.
OK, so public comments and discussion, I'll just say thanks to everybody for getting on this morning.
I know that you have just received the draft report.
Lots going on and so thank you for your patience and your flexibility in being able to have this meeting and have this discussion.
This meeting was specifically so that you could we could all discuss what's in the report, provide a methodology for further comments and clarifications from task force members.
And you know, this is this was the forum to do it and so really appreciate everybody that's on and appreciate that other people that aren't able to make it will still have an opportunity to weigh in.
On the comments.
Alright, so with that, why don't we move to?
One other action item before we get into the report, and that is, oh, actually, let me just back up for a second and say I really want to thank everybody for all the time they've put in since I was appointed as chair.
I know our meetings have been long.
I know there's been a lot of information, but just since you know the beginning of this year, there's been a lot of activity, especially with the pathways initiative.
With the FERC orders.
And.
With the FERC orders that have been issued and.
Wanted to just again appreciate everybody's time, so.
Anyway, with that, let's move to the meeting minutes and I wanted to.
I wanted to make sure everybody had a copy of those.
And Miss Helget, Miss Freedley were those were the minutes emailed out to the committee.

 **Lisa Fredley** 12:25
No, I was waiting to e-mail those out until we approved them at this meeting.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 12:31
OK.
So has everybody had a chance to see them on the website and review them?

 **Daniele Monroe-Moreno** 12:42
This is Assemblywoman Monroe Moreno.
I have not seen the Minutes. I did not go to the website to do that.
I assume they would be emailed out so we could review them to discuss at this meeting, OK.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 12:48
OK.
Nicole, is it possible for us to table that review and approval of those minutes and accomplish that in another?
In another way, can it be done via e-mail?
Would it have to be held till the next meeting?

 **Nicole N. Ting** 13:09
Yeah, it would have to be held till the next meeting, OK.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 13:11
So why don't we do this?
Freely miss helget.
Let's go ahead and just e-mail those out to all the members and.
Let's e-mail those out to all the members and then make sure that that is flagged as an agenda item for the next meeting.

 **Lisa Fredley** 13:38
Will do.
Yes, not a problem.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 13:45
Right.
OK.
So let's again, let's move to #5, which is review discussion and approval of the report required under SB-448 for possible action.
So first, again let me say this meeting is the meeting where proposed recommendations were being provided to the task force and were open for discussion.
It was not a.
There was not a an opportunity prior to this and that's why we have this meeting on because we still have a couple weeks to get this over to.
The governor and Legislative Council Bureau, as directed in SB-448.
I know that it just came out to everybody, but here's what we're going to do today.
We're going to walk through it, have folks ask questions and then.
Anybody has questions, comments, suggested changes, clarifications, catch our typos, anything like that? Please e-mail the task force, Lisa and Leslie.
Reply all from the e-mail this morning that forwarded the draft to you and with your changes no later than close of business tomorrow.
And then we can have an iterative process where we make sure that changes and concerns that are appropriate or integrated, and then we can take a vote on it.
For members of the public who are on the distribution list again, I know that you've just received this draft.
Thank you for being patient.
With the receipt of the draft, we would welcome your comments.
Same thing if you have questions, comments, concerns.
We appreciate public input. If you could get those to us. I know it's again sort of a short turn around by noon tomorrow.
That would be great, because then we can look to things that might need to be disseminated out to the task force for potential inclusion where appropriate.
Any questions on that process from members of the task force?

 **Dwayne McClinton** 15:59
No question for me chair, but can I just make a statement real quick in full transparency? Chair Taylor has been working with me and my staff at GOE to put together this draft for everyone's review.
Again, we do apologize for the short notice. Our short turn around, but wanted to get it to everybody as quickly as possible.
So again, we do.
Thank you all for your participation participation today and look forward to your your feedback and approval.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 16:27
Thank you, director.
OK.
So if you will open up the draft that you received this morning.
We'll just kind of walk through it.
So the initial piece of this is essentially just a summary. It reiterates the purpose of SB-448.
What our requirements are in terms of drafting and submitting this report and then lists the current members of our task force.
And then at the bottom of page 2 on to Page 3, we just have a summary essentially of what was done, a really high level summary and then.
The next paragraph just focuses on or highlights key issues that we saw repeated in different presentations, especially regarding transmission development and recent orders by FERC, as well as what we're seeing in terms of advancement in Western market programs like.
Tariff filings with FERC and the continued advancement of the Pathways initiative.
So again, not asking for approval, but just asking if anybody has any questions on that sort of opening piece of this report.

 **Leslie Mujica** 17:45
Madam Chair, this is Leslie Mujica. For some reason, I don't have that e-mail.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 17:54
OK.
Hey.

 **Leslie Mujica** 17:58
I see.
I I see the response from Caroline Turner, but I don't have the I I didn't get the one at 7:59 for some odd reason.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 18:09
That is odd.
OK, Miss Friedley or Miss Helget, can you quickly e-mail it directly over to Miss Mohica? So she's got it.
Does everybody else on that's here present for the task force have the report?
Anybody else need it?

 **Leslie Mujica** 18:34
Thank you, miss Friedley.

 **Lezlie J. Helget** 18:35
Drop that.

 **+12\*\*\*\*\*\*\*78** 18:35
I got it, sorry.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 18:37
OK, great.
So we'll just give Miss Mimika a minute to catch up with us.

 **Leslie Mujica** 18:50
I'm good.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 18:50
Thank you. Great. Thank you. OK.
So then moving on what the report does is breakdown.
With the initial part of the report breaks down each of the meetings that have been held since the 2022 report was issued.
And provides a high level summary of the activities of the committee as well as where there are findings or observations within the within the presentation.
Himself. I did it in reverse chronological order when I drafted it.
So we're starting with the most recent meeting. First we heard from Pam Sporburg, who provided us with an update on the West wide Governance Pathways Initiative, Step 2 draft proposal briefing. And I want to thank all of the task force members who engage in a robust conversation with.
About what's going on at pathways.
Also, just as a note, you'll see that we've got this little note that says link to be provided in the final draft.
There will be a link to her presentation, so it's easy for folks reading the report to be able to just hyperlink to each of the presenters.
PD FS OK.
So essentially, she talked about updates.
To pathways.
What the point of pathways is?
Some of the triggers for the next steps in the initiative.
And some of the key elements we highlighted in here, the fact that this new entity would have a consumer advocate.
Body that would be able to represent the interests of consumer advocates in the region.
And have a robust stakeholder process for that. And then in office of public participation.
One of the things that was a key element of the West of the Pathways initiative was this primary authority model.
And so we highlighted that and then summarized some of the issues raised by Task force members during the meeting, so.
I'll hold here for a second.
Anybody wants to quickly skim that or if they have any questions or issues.
Right now, again, you know, recognizing that you won't be able to.
Comment through tomorrow.
OK.
Nothing on Pam will move quickly to the presentation that Ben Fitch Fleischman from Interwested relating to again one of those key FERC orders for quarter 1920 and a key piece of our evaluation this past couple years related to long term regional transmission planning.
Mr. Fitch Fleischman covered one of the many parallel pathways that are going on in the West related to transmission expansion.
Transmission planning and that's westech.
So we talked about the importance of that voluntary effort, what its goals are, where it is in the process and how it would.
Overlay its findings to spur ideas and action on new and needed transmission developments.
So that was the first part of his presentation and then he talked about.
Regional transmission project planning and some of the guidance that have come that's come from FERC 1920. He discussed some of the forward-looking scenario planning.
That were that was issued in FERC order 1920, including seven drivers of transmission that should be considered in those long term planning efforts, including evaluation of a minimum set of benefits over a 20 year horizon and as specified by FERC order 1920.
So that was Mr. That was Mr. Fitch Fleischman's presentation and the the summary of that.
So I'll pause for a minute just to see if anyone has questions, clarifications, concerns.
OK.
Right. Next up, we heard from Devin Hartman, who is the Director of Energy and Environmental Policy at R St. Institute.
Mr. Hartman indicated that talk a little bit about our Street Institute, since that's a new entity for me, I had not had a chance to interact with them before, and some of the work they've done on markets, regionalization transmission etcetera.
He provided a presentation that had some larger considerations.
Rto formation which I thought was helpful given that there had been questions from one of the task force members and one-on-one conversations about considerations for the development of an RTO and what we should be looking at in terms of best practices.
So I wanted to highlight some of those comments that Mister Hartman made.
He also talked about one of his concerns. When you're looking at a vertically integrated model like Nevada in terms of fragmented functions of an RTO as you move into an RTO as opposed to in markets where there is open retail choice.
And then he also highlighted seams.
Management seams.
Management was something that again one of our task force members had asked specifically be addressed when they were not able to attend the meeting in June.
And so we made sure to have multiple speakers address.
Seams so that that particular task Force member could have her questions.
About that market to market, concern and efficiency addressed and highlighted during the hearings. And so Mr. Hartman did the same thing. I specifically asked him to talk about scenes.
Talked about stakeholder protection as an important part of our development.
I talked about resource adequacy as an important part and we'll talk about how we've had wrap and wrap discussions come into these task force to meetings.
And then he also talked about something that's a big issue, which is interconnection backlogs and network upgrade concerns.
We'll talk a little bit about gets and how gets is one way that can unlock some of those transmission concerns and transmission upgrade issues.
Some of the interconnection backlogs.
Have been caused by.
Multiple requests for the same project as developers.
Look for best site selection options that is being addressed in part by some of the FERC order language.
He did. I thought it was worth noting.
Highlight that being in an RTO has cost that people may not have considered, including the need to add employees and agencies to be able to interface with an RTO and the stakeholder process. And then finally, he talked a little bit about something that is one of the recom.
Which is doing some deep dive work on economic development opportunities in a market over this next year.
So he mentioned the work that.
His think tank is doing in terms of grading states on load growth readiness.
And he highlighted that some large industrial users may may prefer to be cited within an RTO, but one of the things that they are also going to look at is that transmission capacity and the interconnection cues.
And we've had lots of discussions from NB Energy and other entities about transmission capacity.
So that was Mr. Hartman's presentation.
Questions. Concerns anything on that?
OK.
Let us move on then to the update from Mr. Rubin, who we just heard from.
He is the federal energy policy director with envy energy.
He provided us with a regional coordination Task Force update on the company's entrance into the day ahead market and its work under FERC order 2023.
When we go back to the November 2023 meeting, it was a comprehensive update from Envy Energy on a whole host of issues including.
Assessments of the two proposed day head markets, SP, PS Marketplace and Caiso's Edam in this as of the date of this meeting in October.
Envy energy had made the decision to seek entry into the Edam market.
And part of Mr. Rubin's presentation was a discussion of the multiple bases for that decision.
He broke that down.
He also highlighted that.
He highlighted that joining a day ahead market is not the same as joining an RTO.
And.
But that it is an important incremental step, which is what envy energy has been looking at in terms of moving towards that, moving towards an RTO and potentially joining an RTO.
He also noted that neither EDM nor marketsplus provide a direct pathway at this time to participating in an RTO by January 2030.
Also addressed a question from one of the task force members from Missouri Berg presentation related to participate.
Sorry, related to 704 B customers in Edam and noting that Edam does provide large customers a seat on the stakeholder representative committee and allows opportunities for them to participate in stakeholder processes.
Mr. Rubin also covered order, 2023 interconnection issues.
And including site control issues.
Which are a challenge here in Nevada because of our federally controlled lands.
And then he noted that for quarter 2023 has already helped clear some of Envy Energy's interconnection queue backlogs, so.
Mr. Rubin did also get a chance this morning to scan through those summaries.
So if anybody else has questions, concerns, comments on the summary of Mr. Rubin's presentation, let me know.
OK.
And then finally, we had Julia Selker, who's the executive director with the Wat coalition.
She presented on grid enhancing technologies, also known as gets to support transmission capacity and discussed how FERC order 1920 addresses gets.
Gets provide these.
These technologies can be hardware, software, or both, but essentially what they do is they increase capacity, efficiency, reliability, safety of power lines.
Lower cost way than traditional infrastructure. Both of the FERC orders that we've discussed include some consideration of gets for transmission enhancements. She gave us a lot of examples of how gets have been used, some pilot programs for gets and she also provided policies that could be adopted at.
The state level to support the use of these technologies when we get down to the recommendations, the one policy recommendation that we developed.
Was for a policy to support the use of guests.
Transmission lines.
To open up transmission capacity.
In a more cost effective and quicker way.
And then let me stop for a second.
Questions, comments, concerns about Miss Selker's presentation.
So we will move on to the June 24th, 2024 meeting. This meeting substantially covered the same was essentially a repeat of what would have been provided in December, but that meeting had to be cancelled.
So we had sort of overview of regional transmission organization, some updates on on market advancements.
And and then we also had.
An update from Commissioner Cordova on some of the work she was doing on one of her dockets.
So we will walk through the June 24th meeting.
1st, just to kind of level set for the.
For the task force, we had Spencer Gray, who's the executive director of Nipsey come in and talk a little bit about what an RTO is, what its function is, how it operates.
How it does regional planning for transmission?
And some of the benefits of an RTO, especially around lowering wholesale costs to consumers by bringing both geographic diversity and resource diversity into their generation mix.
And he mentioned again that concept, that large consumers that may have certain commitments on their energy usage can look often to RT OS as a way to meet those needs.
That was basically Mr. Gray's overview.
Any questions, comments, concerns on that write up?
All right, so next we had Commissioner Cordova come in.
She gave a little bit more of some foundation, which I thought was really important again to hear from our Commissioner how how they view and how they look at regionalization and some of the impacts that it might have.
She she also talked about the PUC NS docket to examine Western market activities, specifically day ahead markets and the needed characteristics for day ahead market and what that might be value for Nevada. The docket included presentation.
Discussions on both the day ahead markets being developed by the Southwest Power Pool and by Kaiser.
She also highlighted some of the unique factors for how she's looking at Nevada's potential participation in a regional market, including the day ahead market.
Because, remember, Nevada energy in choosing Edam is still going to have to get approval from the PUC.
And so this sort of preparatory docket was an important part of that planning.
So couple of the things she highlighted is being unique to Nevada as considerations for market participation are.
The renewable energy mandate.
The fact that we're unique in that there is only one balancing authority and then the fact that we have concerns of unique customers such as Nevada, Rural Electric Association members and 704 B customers as you saw with the question from Mr. Hanson during Pam's 4.
Presentation. So she also gave us sort of a highlight and a preview of future work.
Including activity related to interconnection and regional transmission.
So any questions? Any questions?
On Commissioner Cordova's presentation, thoughts, comments, concerns.
Great. The next presentation in June was from Michael O'Brien with the Western Resource Adequacy program.
You heard about RAP in November of 23, during NBN Energies comprehensive program.
But there had been some updates in terms of some of their programs and you know, we got to hear directly from RAP. In addition to hearing from a potential participant in the program.
So he introed the rap program and how it coordinates.
Generally, to tackle problems of resource adequacy, noting that this is not a market, but it provides that advance planning to ensure resource adequacy.
It's kind of a unique setup in terms of how it interacts with kaiso and how it is administered by SPP.
Rout participants are very active in trying to work together to develop a common set of metrics and analyze how increasingly diverse resources both, again, those diversities of geography and the diversity.
Resources themselves can meet the needs of a growing load.
Wrap has moved from purely voluntary now to looking at some binding participation.
What that binding participation means is that those who are in that program will face financial charges if they are not able to comply with their stated resource commitments or if they are unable to cure the failure.
And so we talked a little bit about that.
And then he discussed some detail about how the task force meets the participation requirements.
He also talked about the fact that the rap region is divided into two footprints, the Northwest and southwest, and work is being done to explore the extent to which some transmission can be allowed between the two.
Again, this concept of transmission, transmission, adequacy, transmission coordination is a big piece of this discussion and an important piece of this discussion. When you go back to the November comprehensive presentation from NV Energy.
There was an extensive discussion about regional transmission and the efforts that envy energy is making to try to coordinate with those regional partners and those other regional lines.
And so the West Tech discussion is another important one.
And then let me sorry segue into the Hut.
Then he talked about the Western Transmission Expansion coalition, which I mentioned with Ben Fitch Fleischman's presentation.
This W tech effort is one that's trying to facilitate conversations on regional planning, and 10 and 20 year look ahead. The effort is also looking at how grid enabling technologies might be able to be used in the nearer term to support those transmission efficiencies.
And again, we talked about how Getz is interwoven in this important transmission discussion and how FERC is looking at.
Gets as something that is needed to ensure that we have transmission efficiency and capacity.
While projects are being built.
So any questions on the wrap presentation, questions, comments.
OK.
Next step.
We heard from Mike Ross, Senior Vice President of External Affairs and stakeholder relations with the Southwest Power Pool.
He basically covered SP PS work in the West and updated the task force on SP PS Development of Markets Plus which is the the power pool stay ahead in real time market service at that time. A key update from him was that markets plus had been filed as.
A new tariff at FERC in March of 2024.
Again, there was a specific request from one of the task force members about seems.
And so we made sure that SPP discussed, seems what it was the impacts of it on a market to market coordination basis and SPP stated its intent was to negotiate favorable outcomes resulting from any seams for consumers with neighboring markets.
And then in discussions with the task force.
There were questions about current interconnection, queue time frames and how SPP might be working to reduce those and shorten those times.
So any questions on SPP and its presentation?
Questions. Comments. Concerns.
Alright, Next up in the June meeting, we had the California ISO present.
We had Jeff Billington, transmission infrastructure and planning and Milos Bosnak manager for regional markets sector. Talking about transmission and markets activities.
They talked about their current transmission planning process, the impact of load growth on that work, including growth from increased electrification and escalating renewable energy needs.
The ISO has been planning has been looking at 10 to 15 year transmission planning processes to address the resulting increased transmission planning needs. From this load growth, they gave us some details on specific transmission projects, again a repeat of some of the the great information from the Nove.
Meeting.
Talking about regional planning and how and how that regional transmission planning was fitting into the planning that they were doing specifically for the ISO.
They also highlighted that as with other presenters, the ISO is coordinating on West wide multiple tracks of transmission studies and developments, and that they're sharing study efforts, including their collaboration with the West Tech effort.
So, oh, I also again they updated us on Edam. One of the key things I think that they talked about was that at the time the interest or participation from difference from different balancing authority areas in entering into Edam totaled about 50% of the demand in the.
Western interconnection. They believe that that percentage was a meaningful footprint in Western transmission connectivity to allow key benefits in load.
Supply diversity across the footprint and they highlighted at that time that the parties with an interest in participated included envy, energy.
Again.
At the request of a task Force member, this presentation addressed the issues of seams across multiple markets, which is a potential issue should multiple markets form in the West. And while the ISO identified that seams can introduce meaningful inefficiencies as challenges between markets.
The ISO is prepared, they said, to engage from market to market to obviate those inefficiencies.
So that is a high level summary of the presentation from kaiso questions, comments, concerns.
And then finally, in the June meeting, we had Kathleen Stacks, who's the executive director for Western Freedom and the Co chair of the Pathways Initiative.
We had her introduce the Pathways initiative. It had been mentioned in November.
But it only started in July of 2023, so not a lot to really discuss in that November meeting, but she was able to give us some history, some of the intent and goals and talk about the current status and the next steps. The key thing with.
Pathways is it's an initiative to evaluate opportunities to create a separate, independently governed organization.
That would be able to provide services up to and including in RTO.
Where?
Governance concerns expressed for.
Membership in the Kaiso and there had been other concerns expressed for membership in in RTO W from SPP Pathways is taking the initiative to see what could be done that sits somewhere in the middle and allows independent entity for the West and for Western regional coordination. So she.
Talked about some of the current activities for the pathways initiative.
Including those summer work, those summer workshops across multiple stakeholder processes and work streams, and then again going back to October, we had Pam Sporburg give us an update of where pathways was and I think that going forward, continuing to get those updates on the pathways initiative will be.
An important thing for this task force to do as it is a very active and evolving initiative.
So any questions on?
Kathleen Stacks, presentation questions, comments, concerns.
And finally, the third meeting for this two years for the task force is.
The meeting that was held on November 15th, 2023.
Senator Brooks was still chairing at that time and it was a comprehensive presentation from NB Energy with a whole host of their subject matter experts coming in to talk about the GREENLINK transmission project resource adequacy.
Market efforts.
Regional transmission organization evolution.
And then potential future transmission.
So we'll just kind of break this down by subject.
So they gave us an update on the Greenlink project.
They highlighted some of the economic benefits for green link and they talked about the opportunities green link would provide to access low cost renewable in other states.
And they talked about timelines including in service.
Dates for greenlink W at May 2027 in Greenlink N December 2028.
They also mentioned efforts that they were undertaking to be proactive in procurement because of some supply chain challenges.
So any questions?
Up to this point, for the NB energy presentation.
OK. The next subject they talked about was resource adequacy.
And they introduced NV energies election to be part of rap as a binding participant, beginning in summer of 2027.
Now that was a year ago. Since that time, and in accordance with the PUC NS order on the 5th Amendment to the 2021 IRP enabled projected entry into RAP to no earlier than the winter of 2027 to 2028.
So we will, I'm sure, get updates from NB Energy and I think it'll be important to hear from NB Energy about its regional efforts, including this resource adequacy work.
Again, discussion of the fact that we have some transmission coordination and linkage challenges rap models, the Northwest and the Southwest region separately, but there are ongoing efforts to unlock more transmission across the two sub regions in the future.
So any questions or concerns or comments on the section on RAP?
Nbng also updated its evaluation on the benefits of joining a day ahead market. At that point, they were still evaluating both Edam and Marketsplus.
They noted that the best way to evaluate movement toward joining an RTO is, again, that incremental staged approach that starts with joining a day ahead market while a day ahead market is not the same as an RTO, it is an important incremental step with its own expected benef.
They had a lengthy discussion about the two different day ahead markets.
Offerings as well as the timeliness for their filings, approval and anticipated go live dates. Envy energy also differentiated the potential cost benefits of each market and the difference in their governance structures. And then at that point that was the first mention of the Pathways initiative.
And they highlighted that that's a coalition of Western state public utility commissioners and other officials which had started in July to provide options for independent Western regional market governance.
They went through the cost benefit assessment of each market.
By reviewing the report that was commissioned by group called the Western Markets Exploratory Group or the W Mag.
It was a group of 25 utility and public power executives which looked at a long term approach to improve market efficiencies in the West and incorporated lessons learned from existing regional markets.
The report's cost benefit study compared the production cost savings over footprints including both of the two day ahead markets.
And identified which issues to prioritize.
Including again that market to market coordination and seems concerns if there were to be a regional split, it also identified and and drilled down a little bit into the two factors that the report identified as having the largest impact on total net cost and that was Wheeling and.
Congestion revenues, particularly where there are market seams.
So these two issues along with the final market design and was really at that point what was driving.
And be energies assessment as to which day ahead market to pursue.
I think it's worth highlighting that envy energy.
Pointed out that one of the key things that we need to look at as a state is that we need to be valued for our critical geographic location in the region because everything kind of goes through Nevada.
Nevada.
So any questions on that piece of the presentation from the November meeting?
Questions. Comments. Concerns.
Great. And then they wrapped up discussing.
Potential future transmission projects.
Of note is that the green link lines are already fully subscribed, and they're not even in service yet in large part, Nbng noted because of requests from 704 B customers and wholesale customers, the regional connections through other transmission projects, though, could continue to open transmission cap.
And they walked through all of the transmission projects going on.
Adjacent to Nevada and in the West, and how those might move resources around the region.
One of the concerns that they highlighted, though, from a sort of cost justification is that they have to show the business case before the load or line might be in existence, and that can always be a little bit of a challenge.
And so it's kind of a chicken and egg thing with with transmission.
And so that was kind of how they wrapped up their presentation any?
And I'll just say again, this is what led to some of the recommendation to the recommendation about encouraging and supporting gets as a policy recommendation out of this task force.
Any questions, comments, concerns about the the last part of the NBA energy presentation or anything else in the November 2023 meeting?
OK.
Hi. Yep.

 **+17\*\*\*\*\*\*\*52** 51:43
Sorry, my only comment is that I noted that we only had one meeting in 2023.
And in the law, it states we have to have two.
But there was a meeting scheduled for December of that year that was cancelled.
I just didn't know how that would affect anything.
I just wanted to make that note.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 52:00
Thank you for that note.
I will.

 **Dwayne McClinton** 52:03
I can speak to that.
I can speak to that, Jennifer, this is director Mcclinton.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 52:05
Perfect. Thank you.

 **Dwayne McClinton** 52:07
No, that meeting was scheduled for November last year and that's unfortunately we have the shooting at UNLV.
So we'd have time to reschedule that meeting.

 **+17\*\*\*\*\*\*\*52** 52:17
OK.
Yes, thank you.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 52:22
Director Clinton, is it worth noting that in this report somehow?

 **Dwayne McClinton** 52:27
I think that is a great call.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 52:29
OK, we will add.
We will add that in.

 **Dwayne McClinton** 52:35
And fully transparency, I think we should note that.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 52:41
OK.

 **+17\*\*\*\*\*\*\*52** 52:43
Thank you.
I agree.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 52:45
Thank you, Miss Becker, for catching that.

 **Dwayne McClinton** 52:50
You.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 52:51
Anything else?
OK, anyone have any other comments on the sort of overview of the meetings?
Right, so recommendations and looking ahead.
This is just this opening paragraph is essentially just.
Again, sort of a purpose statement of the recommendations, and again a kind of a summary of what we're asked to do.
And a high level thought about what we really should focus on coming up this year, which I think we have a really good opportunity to spend some time really talking about economic development opportunities in a regional transmission organization.
So with that, we will just go to each of the bullet points here.
For recommendations. So the first one is.
That Nevada policy makers, including the PUC N, should provide oversight.
For Nevada utilities, implementation of FERC orders 1920 and 2023.
This was developed as a recommendation because of the importance of these two orders on transmission on transmission development and on some of the other potential implications for markets.
The PUCN has a lot of oversight over our investor owned utility as it is the investor owned utility has signed off. As you heard from Mr. Rubin on this recommendation.
And and I think it's a good way for them to continue with their substantive updates of the work being done and they've already been engaged in different ways with 1920 and 2023 efforts.
Questions, comments, concerns about this recommendation?
K.
The next bullet point says Nevada's relationship in collaboration with the BLM should be strengthened through relevant state and local agencies to support opportunities to streamline permitting and citing of transmission on federal lands. Anybody who's done a project in Nevada, even something as small as.
Ae V charging station on a BLM easement knows how there is no streamlining of BLM, permitting and citing.
Even just to get a categorical exclusion.
And so you heard Mr. Rubin talk about site control as one of the issues of concern when looking at some of these requirements in the FERC orders and how site control can be tricky.
When you're dealing with BLM land, so I believe that, Mister, that director Mcclinton's office is probably already engaged in a collaboration with the BLM on some of these issues.
And we probably have other agencies that are doing it, but just highlighting this and making sure that those.
That those relationships can be strengthened and those discussions can continue in earnest, I think was we thought was a good recommendation for our policy makers to see so questions, concerns.
Edits.
K.
Next up is this bullet point with multi sub bullets, the PCN and the GOE are already heavily engaged in a lot of these regional efforts.
They they represent Nevada.
They represent the interests of the state utilities in a lot of cases in terms of just being able there to talk about issues.
And they they represent the regulatory and the executive policy frame. And so really just want to.
Support that work through this recommendation and highlight for our governor and for our policymakers in the legislature.
The the work and the coordination being done by the GOE&PUCN.
And so this recommendation calls for continuing to ensure that their interests are represented and continue to be represented in regional conversations related to transmission and markets.
Including again, that Westech effort, the Kremzi transmission collaborative.
And then the pathways initiative.
And anything else that comes up, maybe we need to add that in anything else that might.
Be created subsequent to the development of this report or to the adoption of the significance of this report.
So maybe director, we add that in just as a as a caveat and as a cover all for any new initiatives that come up.
OK.
OK.
Questions. Comments. Concerns.
Edits.
Next up.
Is our one specific policy recommendation, which is that Nevada should enact slash support policies that result in greater use of gets an advanced conductors as a means of increasing capacity on the existing transmission system.
Not calling out a specific policy, but certainly highlighting for those legislators on this task force that this could be an opportunity for some legislation.
And there were policies, specific policy recommendations in Julia Selker's presentation.
Would be interested to get input from.
Specifically from the PUC, but I think that this is a really good opportunity again for Nevada to lead and innovate because this isn't existing a lot of states.
And so it's a good chance for us to show leadership on on something that seems like a little bit of a a lighter lift on figuring out our transmission capacity.
Questions. Comments. Concerns.
Build draft volunteers.
Right.
Next up is a this recommendation about a statewide transmission plan. Back in 2012, there was a report done by NIAC which was a it was done under Director Crowley, who is now over at the Kaiser. In this, this task force has heard from her.
I think on a couple of occasions.
But it was statewide transmission plan and I think given the information from NV Energy, the work being done by the GOE and the PUC N it seems and we thought that now was the time to do a comprehensive reboot on that transmission initiative routing study.
Because it is.
You know that if you even looked at it as a 10 year look ahead, we're a couple years out.
Now needing an update.
So if there are any questions, comments, concerns on that one.
OK.
You know what, it might be worth, as I'm looking at this for purposes of.
This particular recommendation director it might be worth if it's not already on there and I don't. It is adding that knee ACK study to the resources column in on the tab for the task force on the website.
Just as A-frame of reference.

 **Dwayne McClinton** 1:01:24
I'm OK with that.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:01:26
OK.
Umm.
OK, Next up is.
So in other states there are, I'm thinking of Arizona and we discussed in crafting this recommendation that there are other states that have specific transmission, citing authorities, shortcutting some of the concerns and assessments and evaluations that need to be done.
Not saying that this is.
A pathway Nevada should or could even take.
But in the interest of learning and meeting all the requirements that are in SD448, about what we're supposed to make recommendations on, this recommendation looks again at the the upcoming year and how we can bring some learnings into Nevada about how other states streamline.
Or specialize their transmission, citing authority.
To make again an easier lift.
Potentially transparent lift.
So any thoughts on this one and my initial thought would be to bring somebody in from Arizona and somebody in from Oregon to talk about their transmission, citing authorities and possibly New York.
Concerns. Questions. Edits.
Hey.
There's a lot of discussion at the PUC in terms of financing the Greenlink projects, so this recommendation.
Highlights opportunities to explore alternative methods and opportunities for financing transmission for future projects that can enable needed transmission build out.
Those could be things around federal programs, which was one of the recommendations from 2022.
It could be new programs.
It could be partnerships.
Whatever it is.
But if this task force can bring some concepts to the table, investigate those and provide that information to its members and to the legislature. We thought that this would be a good Ave. of discussion.
So any other questions, comments, concerns, edits on this one input?
K.
And then Next up.
And the final specific 2024 recommendation is again I mentioned it earlier, we thought that there are five specific line items in SB-448 that we are to advise the governor and the legislature on.
One of them has to do with economic, economic opportunities and economic diversification and benefits. And so we thought that, you know, putting a stake in the sand to say that we're going to really work on that this year.
Was important and so this recommendation looks to those opportunities, including areas where growth and demand for electricity or renewable energy generation could be accommodated by an additional by additional transmission or RTO opportunities. This language business and industries that could locate in this state as a result of NE.
'S position in RTO comes directly out of Senate Bill 448.
And then the potential for small scale customer owned resources to bid into a day ahead market or RTO?
And what state action may be required to facilitate this?
So and for this one director.
I might add or I might request to add small customer owned resources.
In the distributed energy resources, somehow adding here demand response, so we can just talk about?
Demand response as an opportunity within an RTO market, but I'll float that in the process like everybody else is going to float. Any comments?
Umm.
Any questions, comments, concerns, edits on this recommendation?
Right. And then finally, looking back at 2022, there were some recommendations that seem to still be applicable and that are ongoing.
And so we felt that it was worth reiterating them in this report.
To just highlight that these are ongoing efforts that we should, that we should continue.
So things like the desired governance principles for market offerings, additional opportunities for participation in regional transmission planning efforts.
That was in 2022.
We're just gonna echo that again.
Continuing the load and generation conditions in forecasts in the context of different market scenarios that may move from being the day ahead, market scenarios into maybe some discussions around the different RTO scenarios as we get later into this next two year cycle for reporting.
Again, opportunities, benefits and impacts of market regionalization and transmission for ratepayers and community.
Including transmission customers of all transmission owners, and then finally, always keeping an eye to whether there are additional regulatory or legal requirements in pursuing the the joining of an RTO.
So, are there any bills that need to be done?
Are there any regulatory items?
There's an understanding that that will clear on that, but I think it's worth always keeping that on as an action item, just in case something changes and something is needed. Then the task force has that as a recommendation.
So, thoughts, questions, concerns. Edits on this last piece?
Great.
That is it.
I want to open it up to just broad questions, comments, thoughts, 'cause. We are here for another. We have another 52 minutes.
Not that we have to all stay on for another 52 minutes, but if there are anything you want to discuss, happy to cover things now as opposed to just waiting for the e-mail chains.

 **Leslie Mujica** 1:08:26
And I'm sure this is Leslie Muhica.
I don't know if I'm gonna articulate this properly, but I'm gonna give it a shot and not quite sure what it fits in in the bullets, but.
I wasn't.
I'm not sure if it was westeck or what, but they were talking about coalitions and forming boards, paid boards and all that. I just want to make.
I just want to make sure that Nevada is well represented and it's not California top heavy.
When, whenever there's either boards or coalitions.
Nevadans need to be involved in key positions.
I hope I made sense with that.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:09:08
No, I think I I definitely think that makes sense. You articulated that clearly.
Director. Perhaps you can just mention how.
Representation is handled at say like Krebsy wirab. In terms of, you know, one state, one person and just maybe talk a little bit about some of the regional efforts you guys are in you and chair Williamson or other Commissioners are involved in and how you make sure that.
Nevada is represented, but it is definitely always worth highlighting.

 **Dwayne McClinton** 1:09:39
Thank you for that and left a yes. Nevada is most definitely have the seat at the table between myself and representation from the PUC NUC Chair Haley, our Chair Williams. We have a seat at pretty much every.
Every, every conference, every meeting, regional, especially Western regional organizations.
So we are make sure we are invited.
We are part of those conversations.
Yeah.
Reassurance.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:10:11
And I think if we go back.
To the recommendations, bullet .3 says.
Continue to PUCN and or GOE should continue to ensure Nevada's interests are represented or continue to be represented in regional conversations related to transmission in markets. And I might ask a question to Assemblywoman Monroe Moreno.
Are there like?
CSG.
Are there other entities or bodies that makes sense to add in where there might be legislative representation?
Or where legislators could loop in Nevada, legislators could loop in RGOENPCN to make sure that the state always has a very robust and present seat at the table.

 **Daniele Monroe-Moreno** 1:11:02
Thank you.
Yes, both with CSG and in CSL, there are subcommittees, and Nevada does have representation on those subcommittees. When it comes to these subject matters.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:11:13
OK.

 **Daniele Monroe-Moreno** 1:11:16
I would encourage Members on in this conversation to reach out to their respective legislators and encourage them to get even more involved in those organizations.
So we have a greater influence on decision making at those organizations as well.

 **Dwayne McClinton** 1:11:33
Yeah, I say to somebody.
We're covering all bases, but if there's a organization or anything that you see that Nevada's not getting represented at, please let my office or the PUC know or your legislator know as well.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:11:49
Something went wrong. Moreno. Is it worth?
Mentioning those type of efforts in this task force and just say encourage legislators to be active participants in any subcommittees at CSG and CSL or similar entities.

 **Daniele Monroe-Moreno** 1:12:09
That wouldn't be bad.
Yeah, for CSG and in CSL that would be great, yes.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:12:10
OK.
OK.
So we'll add in language.
OK.
We'll float some language by you.
Well, we'll language by everybody again giving the, you know, tomorrow close of business updates.
Add in and CSL CSG OK.
Anybody have anything else?
Anything you've noted anything, you just wanna brainstorm about now, this is the time to do it. In a in a sort of conversational fashion as opposed to going back and forth on emails. Is there anything anyone else noticed, Mr. Wakowski?
Mr. Figueroa, anything from your purviews that are of concern?

 **Eric P. Witkoski** 1:13:07
This this is Eric. I didn't really have a concern, but I I noticed you were mentioning the transmission authorities.
I noticed that looks like New Mexico.
Maybe some of the other states have.
Renewable energy transmission authorities.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:13:23
Yeah, New Mexico. Yeah. Thank you.

 **Eric P. Witkoski** 1:13:25
Yeah. So there's there's a few out there that and I've heard from, you know, they worked on that one line from New Mexico over Arizona, but it was very helpful.
So in the future, yes, and we may want to hear from them.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:13:35
Sun zeoline yeah.

 **Eric P. Witkoski** 1:13:39
In the future.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:13:40
OK.
Thank you for that.
Let me find that on here.
Mexico.
Mr. Figueroa. Not to put you on the spot, but anything from a consumer advocate's position that you believe should be included in this that we didn't catch.

 **Ernest D. Figueroa** 1:14:13
That's a good question, Jennifer.
I'm still reviewing the document, but at first glance I I don't have.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:14:17
OK.

 **Ernest D. Figueroa** 1:14:21
Any edits so far, but that that may change.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:14:22
OK.
OK. Well, and I just again, you know wanted to make sure in Pam's borberg's presentation that one of the things we highlighted was that work to create a consumer advocate's body as part of the entity that will be formed as W as pathways moves forward.
So take a look at that.
See if there's anything in that that you wanna add in as a highlight.

 **Ernest D. Figueroa** 1:14:47
OK will do.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:14:49
OK.
And then I know that that there were some ideas you had about potential presenters and just ran out of time.
So let's make sure that that gets on for next year.
Let me see who else is on here.
Industry folks.
Mr. Perkins, miss dowdy.
I'm paid. Not not trying to put you on the spot, but if anything's anything's percolating in your solar or geothermal expertise that you want to add in.

 **Richard Perkins** 1:15:25
Thank you for the offer.
I think this has been a pretty comprehensive discussion and frankly I'm still learning a lot about it so.
Nothing to add.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:15:35
OK.
Thanks Richard.

 **Kayla Dowty** 1:15:38
Yeah, I agree guys.
Thanks so much for giving us the opportunity to review the report with you this morning.
I think that from our perspective, certainly the the largest concern is that green links already fully allocated, which is definitely going to drive down opportunity for development here in Nevada.
So looking forward to the the upcoming meetings.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:16:06
Yeah, and payless, so.
Given that full subscription on greenlink.
I don't know if possibly you have any thoughts?
You know, like maybe I am so sorry.
I do not know why my phone keeps ringing.
Let me turn it.
People normally don't call me in the middle of the day that are not work related so.
Kayla, if you have any thoughts on that transmission coordination or even?
Impacts on economic development, renewable project development, the ability for your projects to be able to provide.
Those needed baseload resources, you know? Perhaps that's even an element of the economic development discussion that we can have going forward.
So I I would welcome any thoughts you have on how those concerns can translate into either additional recommendations, additional discussions in the summaries or additional presentations and discussions for next year.

 **Kayla Dowty** 1:17:17
Yeah, absolutely.
We're happy to, you know, provide a a presentation or just even a short.
You know, e-mail about economic development that geothermal has here in the state in full transparency.
You know, without the ability to to wheel within Nevada.
We're prioritizing development outside of the state, which certainly hurts economic development.
And then, you know, we think that there's a large.
Market here in the state for for firm based load power.
And we're just looking at ways that we can.
We can find a match there.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:18:15
Umm.
Sorry, I'm just thinking here because I.
I feel like that's that's potentially a worthwhile note on the recommendations in terms of.
Impact of that full subscription on in state.
Supply.
Ayla, do you think possibly you could try to reduce those thoughts into a sub bullet for?
The economic benefits discussion.
Because it it sort of flows into the concept of having like a wider western market.
Maybe. And then we can at least have that highlighted as as a concern.

 **Kayla Dowty** 1:19:28
Yep.
Absolutely. I will draft something and get it over to you.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:19:36
OK.
That's awesome.
OK.
What else do we have?
Director burns. Again, not trying to put you on the spot, but just wanted to have a little bit of a conversation with you about economic development opportunities and anything you're seeing in goed related to related to renewable energy development and to if you, if the discussion of Reg.
Even comes up in the economic development discussions.
It's.

 **Thomas Burns** 1:20:15
Sure. Can you color in the thoughts about regionalization for me a little bit?
You mean by that?
Well, what do you mean by regionalization?

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:20:23
Well, I mean I, I mean, yeah, energy markets.
You know what we're talking about in terms of regional transmission organizations, so that you know, so that our things we develop in state can get sold, we can pull in power from out of state and everything.

 **Thomas Burns** 1:20:31
OK. Yeah.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:20:38
You know that the whole concept of having a regional market?

 **Thomas Burns** 1:20:42
So both director Mcclinton and myself separately visited Denmark in October to kind of go through what their renewable their their green energy portfolio is and obviously they are.
Pretty robust in that area. That being said, they only have 6 million people and geographically about double the size of Clark County, Nevada.
So it's a little easier to to to steer the.
Steer a ship of 6 million people versus 335,000,000 right as a nation.
But we have we encountered some opportunities that I think will lead to some green hydrogen opportunities.
In out of rural Nevada.
And some other items that that plug into the project that's going there, maybe that project is called sixco.
Clearly we have encountered.
As you go through and you're building a portfolio of companies that that thrive in the economic development area and contribute to your communities.
You want AI would argue a ballast or a mix of opportunities, right?
So there are some that provide a lot of jobs and have some significant CapEx.
Capital expenditure component to it and then there are other ones that have specifically data centers that have very large capital expenditure.
Commitments, but smaller employment loads and having an ability to mix those in because.
Obviously there are some places in our state that don't want 4000 new jobs coming to their community, right?
They they're happy to have but, but allowing them to have a ballast in their economic environment is probably a pretty good idea. And as we encounter.
The scarcity of electricity that, as as it is right now, that has deferred or or caused some of those opportunities to go away.
So I guess probably the the.
Going back to what was earlier said, the thought that green Link is is a really fully subscribed is a a little daunting in that we were counting on that to alleviate some of the challenges we have in that space right now and kinda felt like I was kind.
Of led to believe that that would be one of the solutions to that.
So I guess I probably needed to dig in now for that.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:23:11
So maybe that is.
So two thoughts from what you just shared.
First being maybe director, we need to add in.
A recommendation.
Some type of recommendation related to either presentation.
Discussion. Oversight.
Something regarding the status of subscription on the greenlink projects.

 **Thomas Burns** 1:23:43
Yeah.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:23:44
So that OK, I don't know where that goes yet.
But let's we'll add something in there.
Let me mow on that.
Umm.
Maybe I'll even run that by NB Energy.

 **Dwayne McClinton** 1:24:03
Yeah, let's run it by them first, but most definitely want it included.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:24:09
And then.

 **Dwayne McClinton** 1:24:09
'Cause I don't know how much they're. I don't how much they're able to share right now, yeah.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:24:12
Right. And that's fair.

 **Thomas Burns** 1:24:13
Right.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:24:15
Updates maybe?
Maybe they can even, you know, maybe they can even give us like.
Quarterly written updates to just give us the status of subscription on greenlink and and the efforts to.
Expand.
Transmission opportunities to relieve that congestion.

 **Eric P. Witkoski** 1:24:39
Jennifer, this is Eric.
That that would, I think that'd be very helpful 'cause that would help us understand what the commitments of full subscription are.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:24:49
OK.

 **Dwayne McClinton** 1:24:51
If they can share who, who subscribed to it for how much, you know what type of megawatts.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:24:59
OK.

 **Thomas Burns** 1:25:01
I guess the comment that was going to a lot of wholesalers which would lead me to believe a lot of that power would be leaving our state and maybe I'm naive to that, but that would lead me to believe that is a little troubling.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:25:12
Yeah, and and in.
Sort of.
Another life of mine. I'm a planning Commissioner for the city of Las Vegas and we now have projects coming to us that want entitlements on land.
Say that they are for NV Energy RF PS but can't actually can't produce and you know like Appa and so we at a planning level have a concern too about giving, entitling land that may end up being a project developed to go out of state so.
Yeah. OK.

 **Dwayne McClinton** 1:25:49
Hey, real quick, I see Mr. Sanchez on his call.
I don't know if he can speak to this right now.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:25:54
Oh, hi, Mr. santees.

 **Dwayne McClinton** 1:25:54
And I'm sorry Tony put you on, put you on the spot.

 **Sanchez, Tony (NV Energy)** 1:25:54
Yeah. No. And I I I appreciate that and I apologize.
I I was not able to join at the beginning of the of the call, but certainly to the concerns in terms of the status of subscription. It's not whether we want to, you know, or do we want to update.
It's it's whatever to whatever extent we're allowed to, we would we, you know, it's not like we pick who gets to utilize greenlink.
It's, you know, regulated by, you know, FERC rules of of conduct and.
First come first serve and folks, and we don't.
You know we don't.
We don't make the decision so to that .100% to what extent we can provide that information, you know, count on us.
In in the sense of utmost transparency on something like that.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:26:46
Thank you, Mr.

 **Dwayne McClinton** 1:26:46
I would appreciate that Tony and as I said, as much as you can share, we understand.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:26:50
Yeah. So, Tony, again, recognizing that you guys don't have somebody on the the task force right now.
Perhaps.

 **Sanchez, Tony (NV Energy)** 1:26:59
And and we'll. Yeah, we'll, we'll be following up immediately on that. Thank you.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:27:03
So we'll the director and I can try to draft some language for you guys to just make sure we're not trying to commit you to something that you that you can't do.
And then maybe it makes sense.
Maybe it makes sense again.
As for you to come in and talk about how Greenlink gets committed and how it gets subscribed to and what the process is and how you don't have control over it so.

 **Sanchez, Tony (NV Energy)** 1:27:29
Yeah, absolutely.
And and and we were able to review all the recommendations and and everything and the immense amount of work that you put into those.
We did not have issues with what was presented, so appreciate all that hard work but and we will gladly walk through the process and and get as technical as as folks want to. OK. I I think that would be super helpful.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:27:47
Thanks and yeah.

 **Thomas Burns** 1:27:54
OK.
Thank you.

 **Sanchez, Tony (NV Energy)** 1:27:58
I do need to drop off to jump into another meeting, but I look forward to participating in in the next meetings.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:27:58
Then.
No, no, thank.
Thanks Tony for coming on for a little bit.

 **Sanchez, Tony (NV Energy)** 1:28:05
Hey. Hey. Thanks everybody. Thanks. Thank you.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:28:06
I don't know if we've got anyone else on, but yeah, thanks.
So director burns, the other question I was going to ask you is we've got the PUC N&G OE being engaged and representing Nevada in a meaningful way in regional conversations.
Since there is a specific line item in SB-448 for us to advise the governor and the legislature on economic development, are there, is there a benefit in adding that in relative to your agency and your work?
Are there regional efforts that we should be making sure again that our our policy makers are aware that you're going to and you're attending to represent Nevada?
For economic development purposes at a regional level.

 **Thomas Burns** 1:28:54
Yeah, I would think so.
I mean I I give that some some additional thought but.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:28:55
OK.
I don't know what.

 **Thomas Burns** 1:29:00
Certainly open for discussion.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:29:02
OK.
Maybe we can.
We'll try to just draft some language and and maybe you can 'cause I don't know what your economic development.
Trade groups are, or entities are that you work with.
So we'll just add in and added Gio.

 **Thomas Burns** 1:29:17
OK.
Fair enough.
So we have just real quickly we have 8 regional, 8 Rdas regional that we work through, yeah.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:29:23
Right.
OK.
What about though? When you say regional Rdas, I mean, I know you've got like LVGEA and you know Ed on and and those.
In trust state entities.
But what about an Interstate level?
Do you go to meetings with like other economic development agencies from California, Arizona, Colorado?

 **Thomas Burns** 1:29:45
There's a there's a nationwide organization that meets twice a year.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:29:49
OK. Do they have any of the similar sort of subcommittees that Assemblywoman Monroe Moreno addressed for CSG and NCSL?
That are.

 **Thomas Burns** 1:30:00
No, I short answer is no.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:30:02
OK.
Alright, alright.
Well, I'll, we'll. Like I said, we'll we'll craft something and you can say if it works.
All right, let me see.
Who is this phone number ending in 7-8? And if you're a member of the public, that's fine.
I just want to make sure we have a conversation with everybody who's a task force member while we're here.
Who is that ending in 7-8?
If you don't mind identifying.

 **+12\*\*\*\*\*\*\*78** 1:30:30
This is John Williams with the Bonneville Power administration.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:30:34
Hi, Mr. Williams.
Thank you for joining.
OK. Did you since your Bonneville power and your big piece of this, I will give you a second if there was anything specific from a public comment kind of perspective that you wanted to add?

 **+12\*\*\*\*\*\*\*78** 1:30:55
No, not really.
I think that most of the Members know that Bonneville.
Over a year ago indicated that it is looking at joining FPP markets. Plus, since then we've had congressional members.
Oregon and Washington State leadership asking Bonneville.
To review.
Our analytical findings and to delay our decision, we are doing that and we're watching.
Pathways Process initiative process very closely to see if the governance issue can be resolved.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:31:45
OK.
That's an important update.
Thank you very much for that.

 **+12\*\*\*\*\*\*\*78** 1:31:51
Mm hmm.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:31:53
OK.
Let's see.
Mr. Papez, any thoughts from a transmission operator developer perspective that we haven't covered?

 **Luke Papez** 1:32:07
Morning chair Taylor, thank you for taking a moment to review the report.
The recommendations this morning.
Just nothing immediately comes to mind.
I I do appreciate the time taken to develop the recommendations. I'll I'll take the the remainder of the day and tomorrow to get back to you. If there's anything specific that we'd recommend for that inclusion in the report.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:32:28
OK.
Fantastic. Thank you very much.
Mr. Cruz Negron, anything from a large user perspective, from the mining industry.

 **Luis Cruz Negron** 1:32:49
No, no, no, they should not.
Comments and as mentioned by other Members, I'll I'll be reviewing the the document and if I have something I'll reply back by e-mail.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:33:00
OK.
Fantastic. Thank you.
And then I think I got everybody. Miss Becker. Miss mojica. Anything else?

 **Leslie Mujica** 1:33:10
No, thank you for a wonderful presentation and and and I want to commend you, chair doing a great job, going through every single meeting we had.
That was tremendous help.
Thank you.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:33:22
Welcome.
OK.
Alright, director. Anything else?
I think we've done a pretty thorough walkthrough on on the report and I really appreciate Members letting me kind of put you on the spot and just have those discussions for brainstorming.

 **Dwayne McClinton** 1:33:44
No, I have nothing else at this time.
Just want to thank everybody for making time today.
I know it's we know it's last minute, but this is a crucial that we get this done.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:33:54
Yeah. And again, this was the time and place to to have the discussion about what was in the report.
So and to have have a meaningful discussion with those that were able to attend and hopefully well, we can have meaningful discussion even if it's over e-mail with those that weren't able to attend.
All right, let me see.
So I think with that then do we have to take a specific action on this or because we set up the protocol for folks to provide input?
By e-mail through close of business tomorrow. Are we good, Miss Ting or what specific. What specific action must we take to be in compliance with all of our meeting rules and being able to get this approved over e-mail now that we've discussed it?

 **Nicole N. Ting** 1:34:41
Sure. So you have it for possible action.
So if everybody is agreeable with what's in the report today, we can approve.
If people aren't in agreeance with what's in the report, we would have to come back for a public meeting to to approve it.
Although although someone could make a motion that you could take the, you could take the comments here today and you don't. You don't need to vote.

 **Dwayne McClinton** 1:35:02
No question.
We don't.

 **Nicole N. Ting** 1:35:12
That's what I. Well, that's what I'd recommend. Actually, I'd say someone make a motion that.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:35:12
When you say, we could.

 **Nicole N. Ting** 1:35:19
The all the comments are.
Considered and after considering all the comments, we'll we'll submit the report at a later time considering all those comments.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:35:30
We then not able to integrate potential comments that come in via e-mail subsequent to this meeting.

 **Nicole N. Ting** 1:35:36
Yes you are.
As long as everybody's in agreeance with that, that's why I do it by emotion.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:35:41
OK.
So then let me make sure I got my verbiage right.
So then in relation to the biennial report, I would like to make a motion that the report be approved, subject to comments that have been discussed today and that will be integrated into the report and subject to.
Any other e-mail comments?
From task force members.
That would be.
Consistent with the report for inclusion, any final draft?

 **Nicole N. Ting** 1:36:26
I think that's a great motion and I think that's what was what discussed today too.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:36:30
OK, perfect. So that is my motion.

 **Eric P. Witkoski** 1:36:31
If if you need a second I 2nd.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:36:34
Thank you, Mr. Wakowski.

 **Daniele Monroe-Moreno** 1:36:38
Madam Chair, discussion.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:36:40
Sure. Please.

 **Daniele Monroe-Moreno** 1:36:41
So with those additional comments and suggestions that may come in by end of business tomorrow, how are you going to get consensus from the members of their in agreements with those additions before the final report is submitted?

 **Nicole N. Ting** 1:37:01
Well, that's kind of what we're agreeing to today.
So the motion is that we wouldn't need another consensus to to come to another consensus. We would have to come back to another public meeting so.
The motion right now on the floor would be.
That there's not going to be a a consensus like a vote on the new things that are going to come in, but as long as they're in accordance and an agreement with what was discussed today, that that could come in. But to, I guess specifically address your address.
Your question.
For everyone to have a full vote and agreement on the new things that that would have to be, that would have to be a full public body meeting. We won't be able to do it through e-mail.

 **Eric P. Witkoski** 1:37:44
Yeah. My second was kind of based on the premise that the newcomers were within the scope of kind of what the recommendations are.
So I think that's where how Jennifer claimed framed it.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:38:00
So something misting then that is completely new.
And outside of the scope.
For spirit of this report, could not be included unless we had a separate meeting.

 **Nicole N. Ting** 1:38:15
Yes, I think you framed it very well.
Something that we like did not discuss today, something that was not in accordance with what was discussed today. I, pursuant to that motion, I would say would not be able to be included in the report.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:38:29
And anything that so say something came in.
That was in the spirit or scope of discussions today.
But that.
Was not something that say Director Mcclinton thought was appropriate for the report.
There's not an opportunity to discuss and vote on that.
It either has to be included because it falls in the spirit and the scope.
Or you you just can't.
I mean like, how do you distinguish?

 **Nicole N. Ting** 1:39:07
I think that's a good question, so.
Well, I mean, we could frame the motion different.
I guess it depends on what the Commission wants to do. What is Commission wants to do and what the Commission's comfortable with if they're comfortable with new things coming in, we can redraw, we can.
Take back the motion and then do a new motion.
But if if everybody's comfortable with just what we discussed today going in, then we could all do that also.
It just depends on what the Commission's comfortable with, but to to your point, your point of how we distinguish.
I think that's I think that's something that I think that's something that could be done.
I think it's just like a reasonable if it's discussed today, then I think we can put it in, persuaded to that motion.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:39:53
I just want to make sure that again.
Folks that aren't here today, that might have a thought about.
Something they want in the report can be included.
But I also understand.
Limitations coming up to the due date for this, which is submission by the 30th, which is a Saturday, which means that we would have to have submission by the 27th which gives us the only you know sort of not a lot of options to come back to.
Together, and I don't even know what the GO ES availability is, which I believe is limited, very limited.
So what is your recommendation on how to accommodate that?
The ability for people to.
Provide comments that may fall outside what we discussed today, especially where they were not here and able to be part of the discussion.

 **Nicole N. Ting** 1:40:56
It depends on what the Commission wants to do. If the Commission wants to accept comments.
And feedback from people that aren't here today, we we could include that in the motion, but as long as the Commission's acceptable with that, so the motion would have to be, I think, a timeline is good by, you know, two days from now, 48 hours from.
Now midnight or 5:00 PM tomorrow, like the Commission can come together and as long as the Commission agrees, all comments that are received by this time.
Will be considered by the be considered.
We can also have you know what, we could also have the Commission.
Designate someone to be that deciding factor too. So pursuant to so and so's discretion, they will include all comments that they believe are reasonable or necessary.
We don't have to do it by subject matter.
We could do it by a certain person decides, or we can do it by subject matter, but it really is just what the Commission's comfortable with and what the Commission wants to do.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:41:56
Looking for input from the rest of the the task force I am comfortable with. I I encourage people to send in.
Comments and input by close of business tomorrow. But if something is but I and so I'm comfortable with that. But I don't necessarily Nicole want to.
Be obligated to include everything in the report because there may be something that's so outside of our.
Mandate from SB-448 or something that isn't within the spirit of where we're trying to go with this.
And so I don't know how you're able to do sort of up down unless you're saying that a designation is appropriate where that where the designated person can make that decision?

 **Dwayne McClinton** 1:42:46
Yeah. And I just want to say real quick, could we?
I think the overall goal is to make sure that we have comments from every Commissioner and how do we get that what I'll have to reconvene as a body again.

 **Eric P. Witkoski** 1:43:00
Could we?

 **Richard Perkins** 1:43:01
I'm sure.

 **Eric P. Witkoski** 1:43:02
Could we designate Duane as the clearinghouse to decide whether it is the governor's task force and and he could decide whether the new step is way beyond the scope of what we've got here for recommendations or not?
I would just throw that out for discussion.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:43:20
That's a natural.

 **Nicole N. Ting** 1:43:20
Yeah, I think that's.
Oh, pardon me. Pardon me, chair.
Yeah, I think that's just what you're about to see.
I think that's a natural progression of where we're heading.
So if the director was quote UN quote, put in charge.
Of the task force report.
And he could, in his in his discretion, include things that he believes are.
Similar to the spirit and within the within the what? What he understands, the Commission's purpose is for what they want in their report.

 **Richard Perkins** 1:43:53
Richard Perkins here.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:43:55
Yes, Mr. Perkins.

 **Richard Perkins** 1:43:59
I'm struggling with this, having spent you know the decades I have in the legislative process and watching commissions like this one, and I can tell you have full faith in director Mcclinton.
Voting on something like this in the dark, it makes me very uncomfortable.
Spirit is very subjective and.
We have meetings like this for a reason that people can't make the meeting, then they can't make the meeting.
I.
I I couldn't support a motion that allows some change in in our report outside of the deliberations of the entire Commission.

 **Dwayne McClinton** 1:44:41
Well, I I have my team on here, so we need to reconvene as as the body of a whole. What is our best date? We can do this.
Can we do this?
Friday or Monday.

 **Lezlie J. Helget** 1:45:01
We can go ahead and try to schedule another meeting prior to the due date. Whenever everyone agrees, I I believe that we have.
We could do Friday, Monday or Tuesday of next week.
I'll leave that up to sure, Taylor.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:45:21
Well, I'm gonna my, let me look.
I'm more concerned about the director's busy schedule, OK?

 **Dwayne McClinton** 1:45:29
I'll I'll make it happen.
I'll make it happen.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:45:33
Miss Helget, is there a best? Is there one that you would?
One of those is one of those days, better for the director than others, even though I know he's saying he will make it happen and I know he will. Is there one that is better for him than other days?

 **Lezlie J. Helget** 1:45:52
So we'll have to check with his secretary and see what his calendar looks like. Yeah, but we.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:45:56
OK, OK.

 **Lezlie J. Helget** 1:45:58
I like, as he said though we can.
We can make this work.
I will definitely check his calendar and we can. I can get back to everyone or to you right away.

 **Dwayne McClinton** 1:46:09
I can tell you right now. Friday, Friday, anytime after, after three or Monday, anytime after 1:30.
So I would say Monday's probably the best day for me.

 **Daniele Monroe-Moreno** 1:46:23
That Monday, December 2nd or Monday, November 25th.

 **Dwayne McClinton** 1:46:27
I'm sorry. November the 25th.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:46:27
Mundane.

 **Dwayne McClinton** 1:46:29
I'm going to try to get this down as soon as possible.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:46:35
I could do 230 director.

 **Dwayne McClinton** 1:46:38
Worsening.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:46:40
We could just do it for an hour, just have the time.

 **Dwayne McClinton** 1:46:45
Yep, come in review the document.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:46:45
OK.

 **Dwayne McClinton** 1:46:46
Review the final document and get everybody to vote and that's it.

 **Richard Perkins** 1:46:52
In my apologies for being a family in the ointment here. I just would like, I'd like you to be as clean as possible.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:46:57
No, no, no.

 **Dwayne McClinton** 1:46:57
No, no need to apologize, speaker.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:47:00
Yeah. Thank you so much for the institutional knowledge and guidance through you and the Assembly woman because we want to make sure it's clean and done correctly and yeah, OK. So then.

 **Dwayne McClinton** 1:47:14
We do what we do.
Want everybody feedback and comments by closing business tomorrow. That way we can finalize this final document to include everybody's input and then we reconvene as the body of the whole on the 25th Monday, November 25th, at 2:30. Leslie and team can y'all get that?

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:47:18
Right.

 **Dwayne McClinton** 1:47:31
Meeting notice out today.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:47:36
Yes, and Leslie, Miss Helget and Miss Friedley.

 **Lezlie J. Helget** 1:47:37
Yes.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:47:41
Let's also just add in minute approval, since we couldn't do it today and let's since everybody, if you make sure everybody gets those minutes distributed by e-mail.

 **Dwayne McClinton** 1:47:53
Submit approval and approval of report.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:47:56
Reporting.

 **Lezlie J. Helget** 1:47:59
Get that taken care of.

 **Dwayne McClinton** 1:48:03
Thank you, Leslie.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:48:03
OK.
Alright so.
I think then let me see. I told the agenda.
Hold on one second.
So then no.
No motion on the report.
We've got a plan in place.
Everybody is to get us comments by close of business tomorrow.
Any members of the public who wish to comment please do so by noon tomorrow.
Sorry to put the larger onus on you guys out in the stakeholder space.
And then I think the next item is public comment.
Anybody.
And the public wishing to make comments.
Now that we've had this.

 **Daniele Monroe-Moreno** 1:48:48
I'm sure I'm. I'm not the public. I'm on the Commission, but we just ensure that all of the Members of this Commission, especially the ones that we're not here today, get the information about the upcoming meeting so that they can participate.
They get in a timely manner.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:49:04
Sure, absolutely.
And I believe that Miss Helgut and Miss Friedley are gonna create an agenda and notice it out today.

 **Dwayne McClinton** 1:49:15
Correct along with a timeline, meaning that everybody edits are due by close of business tomorrow we reconvene again at 2:30 on Monday, November 25th, along with October minutes for approval.
All that will be coming out today from my team.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:49:39
Any other?
Process guidance Assemblywoman to make sure that it's covered.

 **Daniele Monroe-Moreno** 1:49:48
I think that would that would be great if we get the information from today.
To all of the members and agenda for the upcoming meeting and the requirements that what's expected for them to get their ideas in by end of business in tomorrow, so that everyone can participate in this process would be ideal.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:50:05
Map.
OK.
Thank you, assemblywoman.
Any other members of the public wishing to make comment at this time?
OK.
Seeing none, I will go ahead then and thank everybody on the task force for the discussion and.
The discussion.
The input, the feedback and look forward to any further comments.
And with that, we will go ahead and adjourn.
Oh, I guess I have to make a motion.
Motion to adjourn.

 **Daniele Monroe-Moreno** 1:50:57
OK.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:50:57
When he wants 2nd.
Thank you, assemblywoman.
All in favor?

 **Dwayne McClinton** 1:51:03
Hi. Hi. Hi.

 **Richard Perkins** 1:51:03
Hi.

 **+17\*\*\*\*\*\*\*52** 1:51:03
Alright.

 **Luis Cruz Negron** 1:51:07
Hi.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:51:07
Right. Thanks.
Thanks everyone.
Any nays?

 **Leslie Mujica** 1:51:10
Thank you.

 **Taylor, Jennifer (Enel North America - USA)** 1:51:10
Right, TJ, Jennifer. OK. All right. Bye.

 **Daniele Monroe-Moreno** 1:51:11
Thank you. Thanks bye.

 **Gina Gargano-Amari** stopped transcription